

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/812,970	03/31/2004	Heng-Chun Huang	BHT-3111-447	4878	
759	90 07/12/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
BRUCE H. TROXELL			CONSILVIO, MARK J		
SUITE 1404 5205 LEESBURG PIKE		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
FALLS CHURCH, VA 22041			2872		
			DATE MAILED: 07/12/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	$\overline{}$		
Office Action Comments	10/812,970	HUANG ET AL.	(m)		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Mark Consilvio	2872			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence ad	dress		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely the mailing date of this co D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on		÷			
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This	action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	•		merits is		
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-11 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1,7,10 and 11 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 2-9 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.		S .		
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>31 <i>March 2004</i></u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	• • •	, ,			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcting 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex			• •		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the priority 	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive ı (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National	Stage		
Attachment(s)					
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) B) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate	-152)		
D					

DETAILED ACTION

Priority

Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

Claim Objections

Claims 1-4, 10 and 11 are objected to because of the following informalities: Various errors occur with the claim language. For example, "a bottom surfaces" should be corrected to be singular. In the interest of a better description, changes are recommended for the following: "said collimation unit" to "one of (or each) the collimation units of said plurality of collimation units;" "the position" to "a position;" "a dual-layer sub-wavelength structures" to "a dual-tier sub-wavelength structure;" "lower layer" to "lower tier of said dual-tier sub-wavelength structure;" and so on. These suggestions are not intended to be exhaustive but representative of the errors contained therein.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 10/812,970

Art Unit: 2872

Claims 1, 7, 10, and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Morris et al. (US Patent Application No. 2004/0165823) (herein Morris) in view of Te Kolste et al. (US Patent Application No. 2004/0042081) (herein Te Kolste).

With respect to claim 1, Morris discloses a high transmittance module, comprising: a transmission substrate (100), having a top surface and a bottom surfaces, said top surface being composed of a plurality of projecting parts (not numbered) and a plurality of sunken parts (102, 104, 106, 108), and a plurality of collimation units (42, 44, 46, 48), being disposed at the bottom surface of said transmission substrate corresponding to said projecting and sunken parts of said top surface; wherein said collimation unit is capable of collimating a light into the position of said corresponding sunken part (fig. 7 and par. 32). Morris also teaches that an embodiment wherein the top surface has diffraction gratings (110, 112, 114, 116) composed of a plurality of projecting parts and a plurality of sunken parts (fig. 8). Morris does not expressly disclose said sunken part has a first sub-wavelength structure disposed thereon and said projecting part has a second sub-wavelength structure disposed thereon. However, Te Kolste discloses that subwavelength structures may be added to a tiered surface, especially a diffraction grating tiered surface, to act as an anti-reflection coating. Therefore, at the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to modify the teachings of Morris to add sub-wavelength structures to either embodiment of Morris. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to do this to reduce the amount of light lost to surface reflection thereby improving performance and providing a more efficient optical system.

With respect to claim 7, though Morris clearly indicates that the transmission substrate is transparent, Morris does not expressly disclose that the substrate is made from a polymer

Application/Control Number: 10/812,970

Art Unit: 2872

material. However, many transparent polymer materials are well known in the art to be used as transmission substrates. Therefore, at the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to modify the teachings of Morris to use such a material for the substrate for its desirable properties and low cost.

With respect to claim 11, though Morris is silent to the addition of sub-wavelength structures, Te Kolste shows the positioning of said first sub-wavelength structure and said second sub-wavelength is one of the following: independently lined-up by oneself corresponding to the other or interlaced with each other (fig. 2A and par. 26). At the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to modify the teachings of Morris to this particular arrangement to facilitate uncomplicated manufacturing.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 2-6, 8, and 9 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

Though the prior art discloses or suggests the combination as set forth in claim 1, the prior art of record fails to teach or suggest the aforementioned combination further comprising a light source is arranged beneath said collimation unit for providing said light. Such an arrangement would not allow the collimating unit to collimate the light into the position of the corresponding sunken part.

Application/Control Number: 10/812,970

Art Unit: 2872

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mark Consilvio whose telephone number is (571) 272-2453. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Friday, 8:30 am to 5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Drew Dunn can be reached on (571) 272-2312. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Mark Consilvio

USPTO Patent Examiner
Lefferson 3C21 411-2872

Page 5

Jefferson, 3C21 AU-2872

(571) 272-2453

DREW A. DUNN SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER